# Translation

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference GP-2-9WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. PCT/JP2003/009207	International filing date (day) 18 July 2003 (18.0)		Priority date (day/month/year)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or A61K 35/78, 35/74, A61P 1/10	national classification and IPC					
Applicant	TOYOR & DI	NC.				
and is transmitted to the applicant	according to Article 36.		national Preliminary Examining Authority			
amended and are the basis f	inied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets	of the descript	sheet.  ion, claims and/or drawings which have been ations made before this Authority (see Rule			
These annexes consist of a	total of sheets.					
3. This report contains indications re	lating to the following items:					
I Basis of the report	t		•			
11 Priority						
III Non-establishmen	at of opinion with regard to nove	Ity, inventive s	step and industrial applicability			
] '' []	Lack of unity of invention					
v Reasoned stateme citations and expl	ent under Article 35(2) with rega anations supporting such statem	rd to novelty, i ent	nventive step or industrial applicability;			
VI Certain document	s cited					
VII Certain defects in	VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observation	ons on the international applicati	on				
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion	of this report			
02 October 2003 (02.	10.2003)	28	January 2004 (28.01.2004)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JI	P Auti	norized officer				
Faccimile No.	Tele	phone No.				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/009207

I. Basis of the report	FC1/JP2003/009207
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*	
the international application as originally filed	
<del> </del>	·
the description:	
pages	, as originally file
pages	filed with the letter of
the claims:	
pages	an artist 11. Gi
pages	, as originally file , as amended (together with any statement under Article 1
	Attack and the
pages, f	filed with the letter of, filed with the demand
the drawings:	
pages	
pages	, as originally filed
pages	iled with the letter of, filed with the demand
the sequence listing part of the description:	ned with the letter of
Book	
D3000	, as originally filed
2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under	led with the letter of
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the follo the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international application (under the language of publication of the international application (under the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of internation or 55.3).  With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence discontained in the international application in written form.  Grantian furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  Grantian furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequently international application as filed has been furnished.	ational search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  r Rule 48.3(b)).  ternational preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ closed in the international application, the international ting:
international application as filed has been furnished.  The statement that the information recorded in computer readal been furnished.	ble form is identical to the written sequence listing has
The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/fig	
This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments habeyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box	(114.6 70.2(0)).
Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in <i>n</i> in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report and 70.17).	10 no. comun amenaments (Rule 70 16
Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to un	nder item 1 and annexed to this report.
m PCT/IPEA/409 (Box I) (July 1998)	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

rd to possible investigation of the possible investigation of the

tement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	2, 3, 7	YE
	Claims	1, 4-6	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	7	YE
	Claims	1-6	МО
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7	YE
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Document 1: Shipin Kexue (Beijing), 2000, Vol. 21, No. 10, pp. 41-43

Chemical Abstracts. Abst. No. 134:221718

Document 2: Microbial Ecology Health and Disease, (March 2002), Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 4-13

Document 3: JP 2003-12537 A (Kyusai Kabushiki Kaisha) January 15, 2003 (Family: none)

Document 4: JP 2002-204669 A (Kabushiki Kaisha Toyo Shin'yaku) July 23, 2002 (Family: none)

Documents 1-4 were cited in the international search report.

In addition to the above, the following document is newly cited in this international preliminary examination report.

Document 5: ÎP 63-14680 A (Fujicco Co., Ltd.) January 21, 1988 (Family: none)

Documents 1 and 5 above describe producing a food product by pulverizing lotus root and performing lactic acid fermentation thereupon, and therefore the inventions of claims 1 and 4-6 lack novelty and an inventive step.

In the preparation of food products, it was conventional practice before the filing of this application to dry ingredients at -30°C to 70°C and to perform processing at a temperature higher than 100°C, and therefore, such practice can be applied as needed by persons skilled in the art when performing lactic acid fermentation of lotus root. Moreover, this examination finds no statement in the Description of a critical effect provided by performing processing at those temperatures. Therefore, based on the descriptions in documents 1 and 5 the inventions of claims 2 and 3 are obvious to persons skilled in the art. As a result, the inventions of claims 2 and 3 lack an inventive step.

Documents 1-5 above do not describe the invention of claim 7, and this examination finds that the matter described therein is not obvious. Therefore, the invention of claim 7 is novel and involves an inventive step.